

Traffic Congestion Relief Program
October 2005 Progress Report
Scope, Schedule, Cashflow and Funding

Project #: 28

Date: 10/24/05

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT STATUS

Check the appropriate box:

- ☒ Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds only
☐ Project work is on-going using non-TCRP funds only
☐ Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds and non-TCRP funds
☐ All TCRP allocated funds have been expended and the project work is on-going using non-TCRP funds
☐ Project work has been suspended
☐ Project work is complete

CLOSE - OUT PROCESS (only if Project work is complete)

- ☐ Close out report was submitted on
☐ Final invoice will be submitted on
☐ Close out report will be submitted on
☐ There was a project savings
Input proportionate amount of TCRP savings (indicate TCRP funds savings only, in \$1,000s)
Go to the TCRP website at: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/> to find instructions concerning Project Close-Out

PROJECT SCOPE

Please describe below if the project scope has changed from the last Commission approved application/amendment.

There is no change in project scope. The Richmond Community Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") has continued its forward movement in working with the project developer, BART, and other transit stakeholders to pursue layout, design, and property ownership boundary delineations. The Agency has entered into a contract for design work and preliminary design is underway, with preparation of construction documentation and specifications to commence immediately following the completion and approval of preliminary design by the primary stakeholders.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Phase	Scope	Start	End
1	Environmental	2000-01	2000-01
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	2003-04	2006-07
3	Right of Way Acquisition		
4	Construction	2006-07	2008-09
4	Procurement		

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project schedule?

Yes

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

Securing (and keeping) funding has been an ongoing issue. Project delays are a function of funding issues. The Agency has been diligent in securing additional fundings through a number of avenues including an AB 3090 exchange (designating this as the Replacement Project) in the amount of \$4.1 Million in RTIP/ITIP funds, a commitment of \$5.957 Million in Measure C funds, a federal DEMO award of \$3.344 Million, and an additional \$4.320 in STIP funds.

PROJECT CASHFLOW

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPROVED TCRP ALLOCATION(S)

Phase	Scope	Current Approved Allocation(s) by Phase	Expenditures Reimbursed as of 9/30/05	Remaining Expenditures FY 2005-06	Estimated Remaining Cashflow Need					Total
					FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	Beyond FY 2009-10	
1	Environmental	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ 680	\$ -	\$ 680	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 680
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Construction	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Procurement	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ 680	\$ -	\$ 680	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 680

LAPSING ALLOCATED TCRP FUNDS IN FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

The following provides the phase, amount and month allocated funds will lapse in Fiscal Year 2005-06.

Go to the TCRP website at: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/> to find instructions in requesting an extension of these funds.

Phase	Scope	Current Approved Allocation(s) by Phase	Month Funds Lapse
1	Environmental	\$ -	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ 680	Mar-06
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -	
4	Construction	\$ -	
4	Procurement	\$ -	

APPROVED LONP(S)

Phase	Scope	Approved LONP Amount by Phase	Expenditures Made by Local Agency as of 9/30/05	Remaining Expenditures to be Made by Local Agency in FY 2005-06	Estimated Expenditure of Local Funds					Total	Estimated FY When LONP Reimbursement will be Requested
					FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	Beyond FY 2009-10		
1	Environmental	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
4	Construction	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
4	Procurement	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

FUTURE TCRP ALLOCATION(S)

Phase	Scope	Allocation(s) Not Yet Approved by Phase	Estimated FY When Allocation will be Requested	Estimated Cashflow Need					Total
				FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	Beyond FY 2009-10	
1	Environmental	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Construction	\$ 4,320	2006-07	\$ 4,320			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,320
4	Procurement	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ 4,320		\$ 4,320	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,320

PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Type		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$ -	\$ 680	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 680
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,320	\$ 4,320
STP	Fed.	Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,320	\$ 4,320
Measure C	Local	Committed	\$ -	\$ 750	\$ -	\$ 5,207	\$ 5,957
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DEMO	Fed.	Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,344	\$ 3,344
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
RTIP	State	Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,100	\$ 4,100
ITIP	State	Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Totals	Committed	\$ -	\$ 1,430	\$ -	\$ 8,551	\$ 9,981
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,740	\$ 14,740

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project funding plan?

Yes

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

Costs estimates have been increasing for parking structures, particularly those built to BART design standards. It is estimated that the cost of the structure is approaching \$30,000 per space (building material costs are skyrocketing). Additional/replacement funding sources have been secured by the Agency.